



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

WMA

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,331	12/14/2001	Lalitha Suryanarayana	T00355	8630
28461	7590	06/23/2006	EXAMINER	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			AZAD, ABUL K	
P.O. BOX 10395				
CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/683,331	SURYANARAYANA, LALITHA
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ABUL K. AZAD	2626

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 December 2001.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 December 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/15/02.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-30 are pending in this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Alpdemir (2002/0035474 A1).

As per claim 1, Alpdemir teaches, “a method for aural review of a privacy policy in a wireless environment, the wireless environment comprising a mobile station having user preferences and a Web site”, the method comprising the steps of:

“retrieving a first version of the privacy policy comprising a network location” (paragraph 0138);
“determining a need for a natural language version of the privacy policy in response to the first version and the user preferences” (paragraph 0139);
“retrieving the natural language version from the network location when required by the user preferences” (paragraph 0137); and
“presenting an audio representation of the natural language version on the mobile station” (paragraph 0138).

As per claim 2, Alpdemir teaches, “requesting an input in response to the natural language version of the privacy policy” (paragraph 0141).

As per claim 3, Alpdemir teaches, “wherein the first version of the privacy policy is an extensible mark-up language version”(paragraph 0138).

As per claim 4, Alpdemir teaches, “wherein the step of retrieving the natural language version comprises retrieving a file in an audio format” (paragraph 0138).

As per claim 5, Alpdemir teaches, “wherein the audio format is a Multimedia internet Mail Extension format” (paragraph 0139).

As per claim 6, Alpdemir teaches, “wherein the network location is a universal resource locator that is presented in the first version as a discuri parameter” (paragraph 0233).

As per claim 7, Alpdemir teaches, “receiving a response to request Internet content” (paragraph 0231).

As per claim 8, Alpdemir teaches, “wherein the input is an aural response” (paragraph 0143).

As per claim 9, Alpdemir teaches, “wherein the input is a text response”.

As per claim 10, Alpdemir teaches, “wherein the input is a dual-tone multi-frequency tone” (paragraph 0146).

As per claim 11, Alpdemir teaches, “wherein the step of retrieving the natural language version comprises retrieving an audio representation of the natural language version” (paragraph 0143).

As per claims 12-18 and 25-28, they are interpreted and thus rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-11.

As per claim 19, Alpdemir teaches, “a method for aural review of a privacy policy in a wireless environment, the wireless environment comprising a mobile station having user preferences, a wireless access protocol-enabled (WAP) proxy, and a Web site”, the method comprising the steps of:

“the WAP proxy receiving a request for a natural language version of the privacy policy, the request comprising a network location of the privacy policy and the user preferences” (paragraph 0137);

“determining a need for a natural language version of the privacy policy in response to the user preferences” (paragraph 0138);

“transmitting a request for the natural language version of the privacy policy to the Web site on behalf of a user” (paragraph 0136);

“receiving the natural language version from the Web site” (paragraph 0138);

“transforming the natural language version to a voice extensible mark-up language (VXML) compatible format version of the privacy policy” (paragraph 0138);

“generating an identification for the VXML format version of the privacy policy” (paragraph 0138); and

“transmitting the identification to the mobile station” (paragraph 0139).

As per claim 20, Alpdemir teaches, “the WAP proxy receiving a call from the mobile station in response to the identification”(paragraph 137).

As per claim 21, Alpdemir teaches, “including the step of responding to the privacy policy via dual-tone multi-frequency while on the call” (paragraph 0146).

As per claim 22, Alpdemir teaches, “responding to the privacy policy via speech while on the call” (paragraph 0146).

As per claims 23, 24, 29 and 30, they are interpreted and thus rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection claims 19-22.

Contact Information

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Abul K. Azad** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-7599**. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Richemond Dorvil**, can be reached at **(571) 272-7602**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450


Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to: **(571) 273-8300**.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to **401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA-22314** (Customer Service Window).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

June 20, 2006



Abul K. Azad
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2626